			CIV-130
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTOR	NEY	STATE BAR NUMBER: 99152	FOR COURT USE ONLY
NAME: DENNIS J. STEWART			
FIRM NAME: GUSTAFSON GLU	JEK PLLC		E-FILED
STREET ADDRESS: 600 W. BROA	ADWAY, SUITE 3300		4/1/2025 12:12 PM
CITY: SAN DIEGO		STATE: CA ZIP CODE: 92101	Superior Court of California
TELEPHONE NO.: 619-595-3299		FAX NO.: 612-339-6622	County of Fresno
EMAIL ADDRESS: DSTEWART@	GUSTAFSONGLUE	K.COM	By: Estela Gonzalez, Deputy
ATTORNEY FOR (name):			
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFO	ORNIA, COUNTY OF F	RESNO	
STREET ADDRESS: 1130 "O" Stre	eet Fresno, CA 93724	I- 0002	
MAILING ADDRESS: 1130 "O" Stre	eet		
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Fresno, CA 9	3724-0002		
BRANCH NAME: B. F. Sisk Co	urthouse - Civil Unlin	nited	
PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER:		t al.	
DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT:	Anheuser-Bush, et al		
NOT	ICE OF ENTRY O	FJUDGMENT	
OR ORDER			CASE NUMBER:
(Check one): X UNLIN	MITED CASE	LIMITED CASE	14CECG03039
(Amou	unt demanded	(Amount demanded was	
excee	ded \$35,000)	\$35,000 or less)	
TO ALL PARTIES :			
1 Δ judament decree or or	der was entered in th	is action on (date): 03/25/2025 - Jud	gment and Order Granting Final Approval of Cla
i. A judginent, decree, or or	dei was cillered iii ti	Action Settlemen	

- ISS
- 2. A copy of the judgment, decree, or order is attached to this notice.

Date: 04/01/2025	Wen fle
DENNIS J. STEWART	
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF X ATTORNEY PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY)	(SIGNATURE)

CASE NUMBER: PLAINTIFF/PETITIONER: Manmohan Dhillon, et al. 14CECG03039 DEFENDANT/RESPONDENT: Anheuser-Bush, et al.

PROOF OF SERVICE BY FIRST-CLASS MAIL

	NOTICE OF ENTRY (OF JUDGMENT OR ORDER			
	OTE: You cannot serve the Notice of Entry of Judgment or enotice must complete this proof of service.)	or Order if you are a party in the action. The person who served			
1.	I am at least 18 years old and not a party to this action. I am place, and my residence or business address is (specify): Canadian Pacific Plaza 120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402	am a resident of or employed in the county where the mailing took			
2.	I served a copy of the <i>Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order</i> by enclosing it in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid and <i>(check one):</i>				
	a. deposited the sealed envelope with the United State	ites Postal Service.			
		sessing for mailing, following this business's usual practices, correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is the United States Postal Service.			
3.	The Notice of Entry of Judgment or Order was mailed:				
	a. on (date): 04/01/2025				
	b. from (city and state): Minneapolis, MN				
4.	The envelope was addressed and mailed as follows:				
	Name of person served: Oliver W. Wanger	c. Name of person served: Darryl J. Horowitt			
	Street address: 265 E. River Park Circle, Suite 310	Street address: 499 W. Shaw Ave., Suite 116			
	City: Fresno	City: Fresno			
	State and zip code: CA 93720	State and zip code: CA 93704			
	b. Name of person served: Mark E. Chielpegian	d. Name of person served:			
	Street address: 5200 N. Palm Avenue, Suite 201	Street address:			
	City: Fresno	City:			
	State and zip code: CA 93704	State and zip code:			
	Names and addresses of additional persons served are	re attached. (You may use form POS-030(P).)			
5.	Number of pages attached: 6				
l de	eclare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of 0	California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
		1 1			
	tte: 04/01/2025	Jamie Holon			
Jar	mie L. Holzer	, , , ,			
	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME OF DECLARANT)	(SIGNATURE OF DECLARANT)			

1 **GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC** DENNIS STEWART, SBN: 99152 2 600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300 San Diego, CA 92101 3 Telephone: (619) 595-3299 4 Facsimile: (612) 339-6622 5 COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT DARRYL J. HOROWITT, SBN: 100898 6 By rayala DEPUTY SHERRIE M. FLYNN, SBN: 240215 7 499 West Shaw, Suite 116 Fresno, CA 93704 **RECEIVED** 8 (559) 248-4820 Telephone: (559) 248-4830 2/24/2025 12:00 PM Facsimile: 9 FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT Attorneys for Plaintiffs By: Martha Duarte, Deputy [Additional Counsel on Signature Page] 10 11 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO 13 MANMOHAN DHILLON, dba RANCHOS CASE NO. 14CECG03039 JMS 14 VALERO, SATNAM PABLA, dba GMG 15 FOOD STORE 101 and MADERA AVE. (PROPOSED) JUDGMENT AND ORDER MARKET, SERGE HAITAYAN, dba 7-11 GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF 16 NUMBER 17906b, DALJIT SINGH, dba **CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT** LIQUOR MAX, and PAR VENTURES, LLC, 17 dba, QUICK PICK, on Their Own Behalves JUDGE: Honorable Jonathan M. Skiles 18 and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated and on Behalf of the General Public, DATE: March 25, 2025 19 TIME: 3:30PM **DEPT:** 503 Plaintiffs, 20 21 22 ANHEUSER-BUSCH, LLC, DONAGHY SALES, LLC, a California Corporation; 23 ANHEUSER-BUSCH DOES 1-5 and DOES 24 6 through 50, inclusive, 25 Defendants. 26 27 28

WHEREAS, the Court is advised that the Parties, through their counsel, have agreed, subject to Court approval following notice to the Class and a hearing, to settle this Action upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated September 27, 2023 (the "Stipulation" or "Settlement"); and

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2024, the Court entered its Order Granting Preliminarily Approval of Class Action Settlement, which preliminarily approved the Settlement, and approved the form and manner of notice to the Class of the Settlement, setting a schedule, procedures, and a final approval hearing, and said notice has been made, and the final approval hearing having been held; and

NOW, THEREFORE, based upon the Stipulation and all of the filings, records, and proceedings herein, and it appearing to the Court upon examination that the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and upon a Final Approval Hearing having been held after notice to the Class of the Settlement to determine if the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether the Judgment should be entered in this Action;

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND CONCLUDES THAT:

- A. The provisions of the Stipulation, including definitions of the terms used therein, are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- B. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this Action and over all of the Parties and all Class Members for purposes of the Settlement.
- C. The form, content, and method of dissemination of notice given to the Class and all persons entitled to receive such notice was adequate and reasonable and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort.
- D. Notice, as given, complied with the requirements of California law, satisfied the requirements of due process, and constituted due and sufficient notice of the matters set forth herein.

4

7

12

22

25

- E. The Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation, is fair, reasonable, and adequate:
- (i) The Settlement was negotiated at arm's length by Class Representatives on behalf of the Class and by Defendants, all of whom were represented by highly experienced and skilled counsel. The case settled only after, among other things: (a) mediations conducted by an experienced mediator who was familiar with this Action; (b) the exchange between Plaintiffs and Defendants of detailed mediation statements and exhibits prior to the mediations which highlighted the factual and legal issues in dispute; (c) follow-up negotiations between the Class Representatives and Defendants; (d) Plaintiffs' Counsel's extensive investigation and litigation of the case, which included, among other things, i) extensive written, deposition and informal discovery of Plaintiffs, Defendants and third parties, and ii) numerous Plaintiffs and Defendants' expert reports and depositions; (e) the drafting and submission of detailed complaints; (f) extensive motion practice including demurrers, and multiple motions for class certification and appeals therefrom; and (g) the review and analysis of extensive document productions by Defendants, Plaintiffs and third parties. Accordingly, both the Class Representatives and Defendants were wellpositioned to evaluate the settlement value of this Action. The Stipulation has been entered into in good faith and is not collusive.
- (ii) If the Settlement had not been achieved, both Class Representatives and Defendants faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation. The Court takes no position on the merits of either Class Representatives' or Defendants' arguments but notes these arguments as evidence in support of the reasonableness of the Settlement.
- F. Class Representatives and Plaintiffs' Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interest of the Class Members in connection with the Settlement.
- G. Class Representatives, all Class Members, and Defendants are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Settlement on the terms set forth in the Stipulation is finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement shall be implemented, performed, and consummated in accordance with the material terms, conditions and provisions of the Stipulation without material modification of those terms, conditions and provisions. The Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- All Defendant Released Parties and Plaintiff Released Parties as defined in the Stipulation are released from all Released Claims in accordance with, and as defined in, the Stipulation.
- 3. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Plaintiff Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Plaintiff Released Claims against the Defendant Released Parties, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release.
- 4. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Defendant Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Defendant Released Claims against the Plaintiff Released Parties.
- 5. All Class Members who have not objected to the Settlement in the manner provided in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice") are deemed to have waived any objections by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.
- 6. All Class Members who have failed to properly submit requests for exclusion (requests to opt out) from the Class are bound by the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and this Judgment.
- 7. To date, there have been no requests for exclusion by any persons or entities to this Judgment. Should any be submitted after the date of this filing, Plaintiffs' counsel will apprise the Court accordingly.

- 8. All other provisions of the Stipulation are incorporated into this Judgment as if fully rewritten herein.
- 9. Class Representatives and all Class Members are hereby barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, maintaining, or prosecuting in any court or tribunal any of the Plaintiff Released Claims against any of the Defendant Released Parties.
- 10. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement:
- (a) Shall be offered or received against Defendants as evidence of, or evidence in support of, a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against Defendants, in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; however, Defendants may refer to it to effectuate the liability protection granted them hereunder;
- (b) Shall be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or presumption against Class Representatives or any of the Class Members that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defenses asserted by Defendants have any merit, or that damages recoverable in this Action would have exceeded the Settlement Fund; and
- (c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendants, Class Representatives, Class Members, and/or the Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar, or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 11. The Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Persons and entities who are Class Members advising them of the Plan for Allocation of Settlement Proceeds and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was

accorded to all Persons and entities who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

- 12. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the methodology for the calculation of the claims of Class Members, which is set forth in the Notice sent to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
- 13. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and directs that the judgment of dismissal as to Defendants shall be final and appealable and entered forthwith.
- 14. In the event that the Stipulation is terminated in accordance with its terms: (i) this Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated *nunc pro tunc*; and (ii) this Action shall proceed as provided in the Stipulation.
- 15. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, expenses and service awards in the Action; and (d) all Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administrating the Stipulation.

DATED: 3/25/2025

THE HONORABLE JONATHAN M. SKILES SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA